

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Acknowledgements	2
Table of contents	5
Abbreviations	8
Chapter 1: Introduction	10
1.1 Aim	10
1.2 Theoretical assumptions	13
1.3 Romanian sentence structure	21
1.4 Dissertation outline	25
1.5 Major claims	29
Chapter 2: V-Raising and NP-Licensing	31
2.0 Introduction	31
2.1 Remarks on word order in the Romanian clause	32
2.2 Verb raising and the split IP hypothesis	38
2.2.1 Brief overview of previous analyses	39
2.2.2 The verbal complex revisited	43
2.2.3 Verb raising: a minimalist account	54
2.2.4 Summing up	63
2.3 Subject positions and NP licensing	65
2.3.1 Brief overview of previous analyses	65
2.3.2 EPP, Case-licensing and Minimalism	68
2.4 Unaccusatives	78
2.4.1 The Romance data	79
2.4.2 Unaccusatives and Minimalism	82
2.4.3 Unaccusatives and the definiteness effect	86
2.4.4 Summing up a V-type EPP language	89
2.5 Passive structures	91
2.5.1 Passive constructions in Romanian	92
2.5.2 Passives and Minimalism	96
2.6 Summing up NP-licensing in Romanian	101

Chapter 3: NP-Raising and Presentational Focus	107
3.0 Introduction	107
3.1 VOS constructions in Romanian	111
3.2 Against subject right-adjunction in Romanian	116
3.2.1 VSO and extraction from clausal objects	116
3.2.2 VOS and sentential objects	119
3.2.3 VP-ellipsis	121
3.2.4 Summing up	123
3.3 Evidence for object raising	124
3.3.1 The view from Binding	124
3.3.2 Condition C effects	134
3.3.2 Quantifier Float phenomena	135
3.3.4 In sum	136
3.4 Object raising: cross-linguistic evidence	136
3.4.1 Clause-medial object raising in Germanic: the specificity effect	137
3.4.2 Object raising as Noun Incorporation	144
3.4.3 Summing up	146
3.5 Object raising in Romanian: triggers and landing site	146
3.6 Colophon: ‘leapfrogging’ versus ‘stacking’	151
3.7 Conclusions	152

Chapter 4: WH-Movement

4.0 Introduction	155
4.1 The issue	156
4.2 Former accounts and a new proposal	159
4.3 Wh-phrases in Romanian: summary of properties	163
4.3.1 The Verb-adjacency requirement	163
4.3.1.1 Two notes on the adjacency requirement	164
4.3.1.2 <i>De ce</i> ‘why’: a cross-linguistic headache	169
4.3.2 Multiple checking and (Anti)-Superiority	171
4.3.2.1 ‘Attract’ versus ‘Move’	176
4.3.2.2 Formalizing multiple wh-movement	180
4.3.3 Interaction with Topics	184
4.4 Romanian and CV2 languages: a comparison	186
4.4.1 Main clause interrogatives	188
4.4.2 Embedded interrogatives	189
4.5 Two apparent problems	192
4.5.1 The (ir)relevancy of sluicing	193
4.5.2 Successive-cyclic movement and apparent SAI	194
4.6 Romanian wh-phrases move to IP	200
4.7 The IP/CP dichotomy and multiple wh-movement	202
4.7.1 Wh-islands and interacting wh-dependencies	203
4.7.2 Local scrambling: A- or A-bar movement	214

4.7.3	Wh-movement and weak crossover	216
4.7.4	Wh-movement and quantifier raising	219
4.7.5	Summing up	222
4.8	Colophon: Romanian interrogative X°	223
4.9	Conclusions	229

Chapter 5: Contrastive Focus and Preverbal Raising 231

5.0	Introduction	231
5.1	Defining the term and previous analyses	233
5.1.1	Kiss (1995b, 1998)	235
5.1.2	Rizzi (1995/97)	238
5.1.3	Zubizarreta (1998)	242
5.1.4	Erteschik-Shir (1997)	245
5.1.5	Some conclusions	246
5.2	Introductory remarks on contrastive focus in Romanian	248
5.2.1	Previous analyses concerning the Romanian preverbal field	252
5.2.2	Summing up Pandora's box	257
5.3	Properties of contrastive focus and other sentence-initial elements in Romanian	258
5.3.1	The verb-adjacency requirement	259
5.3.2	Complementary distribution	262
5.3.3	D-linking and sentence initial operators in Romanian	265
5.3.3.1	What's in a topic?	265
5.3.3.2	Topichood and sentence-initial operators	271
5.3.4	In sum	279
5.4	Evidence for A-bar movement	280
5.4.1	Contrastive focus-movement and wh-movement	280
5.4.2	Affective operators	288
5.4.3	Weak crossover	292
5.4.4	Is focus quantificational in Romanian?	294
5.4.5	Summing up	300
5.5	Analysis	302
5.5.1	The copy theory of movement	307
5.5.2	Streamlining optionality	312
5.6	Conclusions	316

Chapter 6: Conclusions 318

6.0	Introduction	318
6.1	Summary of dissertation	318
6.2	Open ends	325

References	329
------------------	-----

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this dissertation:

ACC	accusative
α *	recursive α
AUX	auxiliary
Adv	adverb
BQ	bare quantifier
CED	condition on extraction domains
CL	pronominal clitic
CLLD	clitic left dislocation
COND	conditional
CV2	V2 with verb movement into C°
[D]	categorial D-feature (nominal)
DAT	dative
DIM	diminutive
DO	direct object
EPP	extended projection principle
F	feminine
FF	formal feature
FUT	future
GEN	genitive
GER	gerund
IMP	imperative
IND	indicative
INF	infinitive
IO	indirect object
IV2	V2 with verb movement into I°
LCA	linear correspondence axiom
LF	Logical Form
LHM	long head movement
LI	lexical item
M	masculine
MLC	minimal link condition
MP98	<i>Minimalist Inquiries: the Framework</i> (Chomsky 1998)
Neg	negation
NOM	nominative
O	object
OP	operator
OSV	object-subject-verb word order
OVS	object-verb-subject word order
PF	Phonological Form
P-Feature	phonological feature
phi-	person, number, and gender features
PL	plural
PP	prepositional phrase
PR	present
Pron	pronominal

PT	past participle
QR	quantifier raising
REFL	reflexive
S	sentence
SAI	subject auxiliary inversion
SG	singular
SOV	subject-object-verb word order
Spec	specifier
Su	subject
SUBJ	subjunctive
SVO	subject-verb-object word order
t	trace
UG	universal grammar
[V]	categorial V-feature (verbal)
V2	verb second
VOS	verb-object-subject word order
VSO	verb-subject-object word order
WCO	weak crossover
X°	unspecified head
XP	unspecified phrase

The following conventions have been used for consistency:

Headings:

- Numbering starts new in each chapter
- First digit stands for chapter number

Examples:

- Numbering starts new in each chapter
- Symbols used to mark examples:
 - * ungrammatical (speakers agree)
 - ?? ungrammatical (speakers agree, minor exceptions)
 - # grammatical, but pragmatically ill-formed
 - () optional